

M12T.3 FERROMAGNET

JAMES LOY

1. PROBLEM

Consider a classical one-dimensional magnet with Hamiltonian:

$$H = -J \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1},$$

where each \vec{S}_i is a classical (3-component) vector spin of fixed length S .

1.1. **(a.)** Calculate $\langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} \rangle$ at equilibrium at temperature T .

We first desire the partition function \mathcal{Z} . Recognize that $\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} = S^2 \cos(\theta_i)$, where θ_i is the angle betwixt the vectors. The partition function must account for every state of the chain, for which it is easiest to integrate over every possible θ . However, we must recognize that in 3D there is another degree of freedom betwixt the vectors, the angle ϕ . Regardless of the ϕ orientation, the dot product is unaffected for a given θ , and thus we expect the ϕ dependence to appear as a degeneracy factor.

Now, integrating the Boltzmann factor for our Hamiltonian over every possible θ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \int e^{\beta H} d\Omega = \int e^{\beta JS^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \cos(\theta_i)} d\Omega \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin(\theta_i) e^{\beta JS^2 \cos(\theta_i)} d\theta d\phi \end{aligned}$$

Where $\beta \equiv \frac{1}{k_B T}$. By letting the substitution $u = \cos(\theta_i)$, the integral is quickly solved to yield:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{2\pi}{S^2 \beta J} (e^{\beta JS^2} - e^{-\beta JS^2})$$

And since the dependence on i vanished, from the product we simply get the result:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \left(\frac{4\pi}{S^2 \beta J} \sinh(\beta JS^2) \right)^N$$

Now, we find $\langle H \rangle$ from \mathcal{Z} trivially:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H \rangle &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln(\mathcal{Z}) = -N \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (\ln(\sinh(\beta JS^2)) - \ln(\beta) + \text{const}) \\ &= -N JS^2 \coth(\beta JS^2) + \frac{N}{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Date: December 12, 2016.

But from our Hamiltonian, we can also see the relation:

$$\langle H \rangle = -J \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} \right\rangle = -JN \langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} \rangle,$$

which together implies:

$$\langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} \rangle = \coth \left(\frac{JS^2}{k_B T} \right) S^2 - \frac{k_B T}{J}$$

1.2. **(b.)** Calculate the specific heat per spin $c(T)$ of the system in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} c(T) &\equiv \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial \langle H \rangle}{\partial T} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T} \frac{\partial \langle H \rangle}{\partial \beta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{N k_B T^2} \left((-N J S^2 (1 - \coth^2(\beta J S^2))) J S^2 - \frac{N}{\beta^2} \right) \\ c(T) &= \frac{J^2 S^4}{k_B T^2} \left(1 - \coth^2 \left(\frac{J S^2}{k_B T} \right) \right) + k_B \end{aligned}$$

1.3. **(c.)** Consider the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit of part b). Is this consistent with the behavior of $c(T)$ for a **quantum** ferromagnet ($J > 0$) of spin S with this same Hamiltonian? If not, estimate (roughly) and state the correct quantum behavior of $c(T)$ for small T , explaining your reasoning.

Off hand, we can recall that in a classical system there is no “freezing out” of degrees of freedom, so there will remain finite $c(T)$ for even the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit. However, in the quantum system we expect the specific heat to go to zero for low enough T because the quantized energy levels will make certain degrees of freedom unavailable. But this is very hand-wavy, let us try to back it up with the math.

First, we notice that $\coth(x) \equiv \left(\frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{e^x - e^{-x}} \right)$. In our classical $c(T)$ from part b), our \coth argument goes as $1/T$, so as we take the limit $T \rightarrow 0$, $x \rightarrow \infty$. We see then that the \coth term goes to unity in our low T limit, which actually eliminates the entire first term¹. Thus, $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} c(T) = k_B$ in the classical case.

Our only change in the quantum model is that $\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} = \pm S^2$ instead of relying on relative angle θ . Thus, our partition function is not an integral, but a simple sum of two terms:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_{i=1}^N (e^{\beta J S^2} + e^{-\beta J S^2}) = (2 \cosh(\beta J S^2))^N.$$

This yields a new value of $\langle H \rangle$:

$$\langle H \rangle = -N J S^2 \tanh(\beta J S^2),$$

giving the new $c_Q(T)$:

$$c_Q(T) = \frac{J S^2}{k_B T^2} \left(1 - \tanh^2 \left(\frac{J S^2}{k_B T} \right) \right).$$

¹Actually, there is that $1/T^2$ in the first term of $c(T)$ that causes a problem, but in the limit we obtain $0/0$, and we apply L'Hospital's rule (twice in fact) to find out that the \coth term goes to one faster than $T \rightarrow 0$, in which case the entire term vanishes. The two application of L'Hospital's rule are omitted here for clarity.

Now, \tanh is the inverse of \coth , so it also goes to unity in the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit, so again the first term vanishes², but this time there is no second, constant term. We conclude $\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} c_Q(T) = 0$. Thus, the classical model is not consistent with the low-T behavior of the quantum model.

²Except again we have the T^2 term in the denominator, but L'Hospital again saves us in the exact same way. See footnote 1.