

J98M.2

a) This problem lends itself well to center-of-mass coordinates. There are 4 degrees of freedom: x - and y -translation, rotation (θ) and spring elongation (l). The potential energy is due entirely to the spring, so $U = \frac{1}{2} k(l - d)^2$. Kinetic energy takes the form of translation, rotation, and stretching (measured from the center of rotation), so $T = \frac{1}{2} M\dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2} M\dot{y}^2 + \frac{1}{2} I\dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} M \frac{\dot{l}^2}{4}$. Simplifying, and taking $I = 2 \cdot M\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)^2 = M \frac{l^2}{2}$, the Lagrangian is:

$$\mathcal{L} = T - U = \frac{1}{2} M \left(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + \dot{\theta}^2 \frac{l^2}{2} + \frac{\dot{l}^2}{4} - \frac{k}{M} (l - d)^2 \right)$$

Computing the Euler-Lagrange equation for l yields:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial l} = \frac{1}{2} M \left(\dot{\theta}^2 l - \frac{2k}{M} (l - d) \right)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{l}} = \frac{1}{2} M \ddot{l}$$

Thus:

$$\ddot{l} = 2 \left(l \dot{\theta}^2 - \frac{2k}{M} (l - d) \right)$$

Now, we need to eliminate $\dot{\theta}$, so we compute the Euler-Lagrange equation for θ :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = \frac{1}{2} M l^2 \ddot{\theta}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} l^2 \ddot{\theta} = 0$, $\frac{1}{2} M l^2 \dot{\theta}$ is constant (which makes sense, because this is the angular momentum). After both masses start moving, the center of mass moves with velocity $v_0 = \frac{v_i}{2}$, and the masses rotate with $\omega_0 = \dot{\theta}_0 = v_i \frac{d}{2}$. Then $\frac{1}{2} M l^2 \dot{\theta} = M v_i \frac{d}{2}$, so $\dot{\theta} = \frac{v_i d}{l^2}$.

Substituting this into the equation for \ddot{l} , we obtain:

$$\ddot{l} = \frac{2v_i^2 d^2}{l^3} - \frac{4k}{M} (l - d) \quad \blacksquare$$

b) Since the centrifugal force from rotation only ever acts outward, the spring will never be compressed (only stretched) once in motion. Thus, its shortest length is its rest length, d .

c) We know that when $\ddot{l} = 0$, the spring will be extended halfway, l_h (the acceleration is in the process of changing sign at that point). Then the full extension is $2l_h - d$ (so that we don't double-count the rest length). Setting the equation for \ddot{l} equal to zero and rearranging yields:

$$\frac{v_i^2 d^2}{l_h^3} - \frac{2k}{M} (l_h - d)$$

If v_i is small, then l_h will be approximately d . Then we let l_h^3 go to d^3 , but keep $l_h - d$:

$$\frac{v_i^2}{d} = \frac{2k}{M} (l_h - d) \Rightarrow l_h = d + \frac{M v_i^2}{2kd}$$

Thus, the full extension is $d + \frac{M v_i^2}{kd}$.

One thought on "J98M.2"



- a) Good, looks correct.
- b) Fair enough. Can be shown analytically too.
- c) Good, your answer is correct.

But I have one remark. Your assertion "We know that when $\ddot{l} = 0$, the spring will be extended halfway" doesn't look legitimate. How do we know this? It's true for a harmonic oscillator or any other oscillator with symmetric potential. But actually it's not true in our case, because the effective potential in which the coordinate $l(t)$ propagates is not symmetric at all. However, since we are looking at the small v_i case, the motion is effectively harmonic, so that's why you are still getting a correct answer. I think, you should be more clear on that point. Or use different method, where you will analyze a point with $\dot{l} = 0$, not $\ddot{l} = 0$.
